



Conceptualizing Dual Mechanisms of Decoupling: The Impact of Physical and Temporal Separation on The Intensity of Pain of Paying

Tiara Kinanti¹, Fajar Santoso², Bhenu Artha³

UIN Raden Mas Said Surakarta^{1,2}

University of Widya Mataram, Yogyakarta³

Corresponding Author's Email: bhenoz27@mail.com

Received: 05 03, 2026 | Accepted: 05 09, 2026 | Published: 06 11, 2026

ABSTRACT

The evolution of commerce from tangible cash to digital interactions has fundamentally altered the "pain of paying," a crucial psychological heuristic that regulates consumer spending. This research aims to conceptualize the dual mechanisms of decoupling, physical and temporal separation, within a unified theoretical framework. Utilizing a narrative review methodology, the study synthesizes contemporary literature to explore how these mechanisms manipulate consumer psychology. Physical decoupling, characterized by "Spendception" and invisible payments, reduces payment transparency and neutralizes emotional resistance at the point of sale. Temporal decoupling, prominently seen in Buy Now, Pay Later (BNPL) models, exploits time perception to minimize perceived financial commitment. While these strategies effectively increase impulse buying by removing cognitive friction, they paradoxically damage long-term brand loyalty by eliminating psychological effort justification. The paper concludes that in an increasingly frictionless digital economy, cultivating robust financial literacy is essential for transitioning consumers from vulnerable, heuristic-based emotional regulation to resilient, deliberate cognitive financial management.

Keywords: Financial Literacy ; Pain of Paying ; Physical Decoupling ; Spendception ; Temporal Decoupling

How to Cite:

Kinanti, T. ., Santoso, F. ., & Artha, B. . (2026). Conceptualizing Dual Mechanisms of Decoupling: The Impact of Physical and Temporal Separation on The Intensity of Pain of Paying. *Indonesia Economic Journal*, 2(1), 1112-1124. <https://doi.org/10.63822/t9wz2n13>

INTRODUCTION

The fundamental architecture of consumer transactions and financial exchanges has undergone a profound, accelerating transformation over the last century. This evolution has systematically shifted the paradigm of commerce from the tangible, physical exchange of fiat currency to highly abstracted, frictionless, and increasingly invisible digital interactions (Patil, 2026). Classical economic models and early theories of consumer choice, such as Irving Fisher's 1930 framework of intertemporal choice, have long posited that money is entirely fungible (Egbert & Sedlarski, 2017). Under these traditional models, a single unit of currency is assumed to hold the exact same objective value and exert the exact same psychological weight regardless of its physical form, its transactional history, or the specific technological method utilized to disburse it (Gopinath & Itskhoki, 2022). In this classical view, the act of paying is considered a purely rational, mathematical, and emotionally "cold" process of resource allocation.

However, robust empirical evidence from the burgeoning fields of behavioral economics and consumer psychology has consistently demonstrated that the classical assumption of fungibility is fundamentally flawed when applied to human cognition (Hasan et al., 2025). The psychological experience of parting with financial resources is not emotionally neutral; rather, it is heavily contingent upon the contextual framing and the specific mechanics of the transaction itself (Sadhu, 2025). At the core of this behavioral perspective is the conceptual construct of the "pain of paying," an autonomic, self-regulatory emotional response that forces consumers to mentally weigh the immediate costs of a purchase against its anticipated hedonic or utilitarian benefits. This negative emotional reaction serves a vital evolutionary and economic function within the human cognitive architecture: it acts as an immediate heuristic that replaces complex, formal cost-benefit analyses, essentially signaling to the consumer that if a transaction induces too much psychological agony, the purchase should be abandoned (Lazarus, 2021). The primary objective of this research is to conceptualize and examine the dual mechanisms of decoupling in the context of consumer behavior, specifically to conceptualize the dual mechanisms of decoupling by integrating physical and temporal dimensions into a unified theoretical framework, and to investigate the impact of physical separation (the medium of payment, such as digital vs. cash) on the psychological intensity of the pain of paying.

METHODS OF RESEARCH

We use narrative review methods, which the primary objective is to provide a comprehensive overview of the current state of pain of paying, synthesizing diverse perspectives to identify prevailing trends, gaps in literature, and directions for future research (Sarkar & Bhatia, 2021; Siswadhi et al., 2025; Sukhera, 2022). In contrast to a systematic review, this narrative approach allows for a broader interpretation of multifaceted issues and the inclusion of various study designs.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

In contemporary retail and digital environments, particularly as we navigate through 2025 and into 2026, the architecture of payment systems has been deliberately engineered by financial technology (fintech) platforms and retailers to optimize commercial conversion rates by systematically minimizing

*Conceptualizing Dual Mechanisms of Decoupling:
The Impact of Physical and Temporal Separation on The Intensity of Pain of Paying*
(Kinanti, et al.)

transactional friction. This relentless optimization relies heavily on the synergistic application of two distinct but intimately interrelated structural mechanisms: physical decoupling and temporal decoupling.

Physical decoupling refers to the systemic dematerialization of currency, transitioning the consumer experience from the handling of physical cash to the swiping of plastic cards, and increasingly, toward invisible, biometric, or zero-touch digital wallet payments (Kemp-Benedict, 2018). Temporal decoupling, on the other hand, involves the chronological separation of the act of consumption from the actual financial depletion (Zurstraßen et al., 2025). This is a mechanism most prominently utilized by traditional credit cards and exponentially amplified by modern Buy Now, Pay Later (BNPL) platforms, which allow immediate gratification while deferring the financial consequences into the abstract future (Berg et al., 2025).

By successfully attenuating the intrinsic pain of paying, blurring the rigid boundaries of consumer mental accounting, and effectively dismantling the deliberative cognitive friction inherent in traditional cash transactions, modern cashless ecosystems restructure the internal subjective experience of spending (Silva et al., 2023). This dynamic creates a profound behavioral paradox of convenience: the exact frictionless qualities that make digital and deferred payments highly efficient for commerce simultaneously dismantle the internal self-regulatory mechanisms that protect consumers from impulse buying and severe financial overextension (Liu et al., 2025). This comprehensive conceptual paper explores the theoretical underpinnings of the pain of paying in exhaustive detail, deeply analyzing how physical and temporal decoupling manipulate consumer psychology, alter baseline price sensitivity, and drive varied purchasing behaviors across diverse demographic cohorts and psychological profiles.

Evolution from Rational Choice to Emotional Transactions

As previously noted, classical economics historically treated the act of making a payment as an objective means to an end, devoid of emotional interference (Mariolis et al., 2016). This assumption was fundamentally challenged and ultimately dismantled by the pioneering research of Ofer Zellermayer who observed through rigorous empirical testing that the act of paying is routinely accompanied by powerful affective responses, ranging from severe psychological agony to, in certain highly contextualized situations, profound joy (Zellermayer, 1996).

Zellermayer formally coined the term "pain of paying" to encapsulate the negative emotions, reluctance, and psychological discomfort that individuals experience when parting with their financial resources (Zellermayer, 1996). A critical revelation of Zellermayer's foundational research was that the intensity of this emotional pain is not strictly or linearly correlated with the objective monetary value of the transaction. While a higher price tag might logically seem to induce proportionately more pain, the actual experience of transactional agony is heavily modulated by contextual, environmental, and structural characteristics. Through his research, Zellermayer identified several critical transaction characteristics that can systematically lessen the subjective experience of the pain of paying, establishing a framework that remains central to behavioral economics today (Zellermayer, 1996).

Table 1. Transaction Characteristic and Pain of Paying

Characteristic of Transaction	Psychological Impact on the Intensity of the Pain of Paying
Perception of Fairness	If a consumer believes the price accurately reflects the intrinsic value of the good and the transaction is equitable, the psychological pain is significantly mitigated. Conversely, price gouging induces extreme pain. Purchases made under a perceived bargain are often entirely painless because the consumer feels positive emotions, knowing they extracted excess value from the exchange.
Investment vs. Pure Consumption	Framing a purchase as a long-term investment (e.g., education, durable goods, real estate) rather than pure, fleeting hedonic consumption (e.g., a lavish meal, disposable fashion) lessens the emotional sting of parting with capital, as the brain categorizes the outflow as a transfer of assets rather than a strict loss.
Immediacy and Consolidation of Payment	Immediate, consolidated lump-sum payments are generally preferred over drawn-out, protracted payment schedules for a single instance of past consumption. Consumers intrinsically loathe the psychological weight of owing money for something they have already consumed, making lingering debt highly painful.
Altruistic and Prosocial Intent	When a purchase is explicitly made for the benefit of someone else (e.g., a gift for a loved one or a charitable donation), the intrinsic psychological reward and warm-glow effect of altruism effectively buffer and override the negative emotion of the financial loss.
Locus of Control and Autonomy	Transactions in which the consumer feels entirely in control of their choices generate significantly less pain compared to mandatory, coercive, or unexpected expenses (e.g., unexpected tax levies, traffic tickets, or emergency home repairs).
Prepayment Architectures	Paying for a good or service prior to its consumption entirely eliminates the lingering dread of future debt, allowing the consumer to ultimately enjoy the consumption experience unencumbered by pending financial depletion.

(Source: Researchers, 2026)

These foundational findings revolutionized the academic understanding of consumer behavior. They definitively demonstrated that human beings do not merely react mathematically to the depletion of their overall wealth, but rather react emotionally to the specific *meaning*, *framing*, and *context* of that localized depletion.

Physical Decoupling: The Erasure of Modality Transparency

Physical decoupling refers to the systemic engineering strategy of separating the underlying payment mechanism from the physical representation of fiat currency (cash) (Witt et al., 2023). As the architecture of global commerce has evolved, the highly tangible, physical act of exchanging physical tokens of value has been progressively replaced by increasingly abstracted, digitized methodologies (Böhmecke-Schwafert, 2024). This evolution directly manipulates the psychological concept of "payment transparency," a pivotal determinant of the intensity of the pain of paying.

*Conceptualizing Dual Mechanisms of Decoupling:
The Impact of Physical and Temporal Separation on The Intensity of Pain of Paying*
(Kinanti, et al.)

Modality Transparency and the Haptic Salience of Depletion

In behavioral finance, payment transparency refers to the vividness, sensory engagement, and salience with which a payment method signals the outflow of wealth to the individual consumer (Sjam, 2025). The more transparent a payment method is, the stronger the sensory, haptic, and cognitive cues that remind the individual of their ongoing financial depletion (Alkadash et al., 2025).

Physical cash represents the absolute zenith of payment transparency and represents a state of zero physical decoupling (Stracca, 2025). The physical ritual of completing a cash transaction is deeply engaging to the human sensory system: counting out specific notes, physically handing over paper bills or heavy coins, and visually observing a wallet becoming physically thinner creates an acute, unavoidable moment of conscious reckoning (Hobson et al., 2018). Original research by Zellermyer and subsequent modern studies consistently show that consumers rank cash as the most agonizing and least preferred method of payment, albeit acknowledging it as the most effective tool for maintaining strict financial self-control (Zellermyer, 1996). The haptic feedback is so critical that experiments have demonstrated that even the mere act of disrupting the physical process of counting cash (e.g., replacing it with generic tokens) significantly lowers the pain of paying and correspondingly increases spending volume (See et al., 2022).

Spendception and the Imminent Era of Invisible Payments

In the current digital epoch, specifically analyzing data from 2025 and projections into 2026, physical decoupling has aggressively advanced past plastic cards into the realm of digital wallets (e.g., Apple Pay, Google Wallet), embedded mobile applications, and fully "invisible payments" (Yee et al., 2025). This hyper-acceleration of physical decoupling has given rise to a newly defined psychological construct in behavioral economics termed "Spendception" (Cerdeiro et al., 2021).

Spendception systematically conceptualizes the profound psychological impact of highly abstracted digital payment systems on consumer behavior (Faraz & Anjum, 2025a). It describes the comprehensive reduction in psychological resistance to spending caused specifically by the diminished visibility of transactions and the perceived effortless ease of one-click or tap-to-pay environments (Faraz & Anjum, 2025a). Because digital systems operate with near-zero friction, they make the act of buying feel virtually unnoticeable, preventing the consumer from realizing or conceptualizing the true financial impact of their actions in real-time (M. Ma & Zheng, 2024).

In the "zero-touch" ecosystems, the consumer experiences a "Click to Pay" or literal "walk-out" environment (akin to Amazon Go stores) where the authentication and financial settlement processes fade entirely into the background (Shakya et al., 2025). While invisible payments optimize conversion rates for retailers and provide an objectively delightful, frictionless customer experience, they fundamentally alter the cognitive architecture of the transaction (Usman et al., 2024). By removing all tangible, visual, and cognitive markers of financial exchange, the pain of paying is neutralized at the point of sale (Reshadi & Fitzgerald, 2023). This removal of the emotional checkpoint systematically undermines financial self-regulation, resulting in heightened impulsivity and a broader consumer blind spot regarding accumulated expenditures (Prelec, 1998).

Temporal Decoupling: Chronological Manipulation and Construal Theory

While physical decoupling alters the *form* and sensory experience of the payment, temporal

*Conceptualizing Dual Mechanisms of Decoupling:
The Impact of Physical and Temporal Separation on The Intensity of Pain of Paying*
(Kinanti, et al.)

decoupling fundamentally alters the *timing*, restructuring the chronological relationship between the act of consumption and the realization of the financial cost (Zurstraßen et al., 2025). Temporal decoupling explicitly exploits deeply ingrained psychological principles regarding how humans perceive time, risk, and value (Bachmann et al., 2022). The most behaviorally consequential feature of delayed payment architectures, such as traditional credit cards, modern subscription models, and Buy Now, Pay Later (BNPL) arrangements, is that they create a vast temporal gap (Thomas, 2025). Within this gap, the hedonic benefits and utility of a product are experienced immediately in the present, while the financial costs are deferred into the invisible, distant future.

Table 2. Consumer Profile and Decoupling

Consumer Psychometric Profile	Product Typology	Impact of Temporal Decoupling (Delayed Payment) on Purchase Intention	Underlying Psychological Mechanism
Low Need for Closure (NFC)	Hedonic (Experiential, pleasure-driven)	Significantly Increases	The delay triggers abstract construal via TCT. The consumer focuses entirely on the desirability and pleasure of the item, unbothered by the ambiguity of future debt.
Low Need for Closure (NFC)	Utilitarian (Functional, necessary)	Decreases (Immediate payment preferred)	Utilitarian items are inherently linked to concrete needs and feasibility. Immediate payment aligns cognitively with the low-level, concrete construal of practical necessities.
High Need for Closure (NFC)	Hedonic (Experiential, pleasure-driven)	Significantly Decreases	High-NFC individuals view delayed payments not as an abstract future event, but as a stressful, unresolved debt. This "pain of owing" induces guilt and anxiety that actively pollutes the hedonic pleasure of the item. They prefer immediate payment to cleanly close the transaction.
High Need for Closure (NFC)	Utilitarian (Functional, necessary)	Neutral (No significant effect)	Because utilitarian purchases are driven by highly justifiable, objective needs, the lingering debt does not induce the same level of guilt as it does for frivolous hedonic purchases, resulting in a neutral impact.

(Source: Researchers, 2026)

This asymmetrical response highlights that while temporal decoupling is generally a powerful tool for increasing sales, it can backfire when applied to highly anxious consumers purchasing luxury or

pleasure-based goods, as the psychological "pain of owing" overrides the benefits of reducing the "pain of paying".

The Convergence: Buy Now, Pay Later (BNPL) Ecosystems

The contemporary proliferation of Buy Now, Pay Later (BNPL) platforms (e.g., Klarna, Afterpay, Affirm) represents arguably the most sophisticated and aggressive application of temporal decoupling in modern retail history (Berg et al., 2025). BNPL services act as third-party fintech intermediaries that allow consumers to acquire goods immediately at the digital or physical point of sale while splitting the total cost into a series of smaller, typically interest-free installments distributed over several weeks or months (Krause, 2025).

By systematically breaking a large, painful lump sum into smaller, delayed installments, BNPL artificially minimizes the perceived magnitude of the financial commitment (Ashby et al., 2025). By offering a powerful illusion of enhanced financial manageability, these platforms drastically decrease the cognitive difficulty of the purchase decision and actively reduce the immediate price sensitivity of consumers, particularly younger online demographics (Reinartz et al., 2019). By ruthlessly separating the immediate psychological reward from the future financial pain, BNPL exploits the human behavioral gap, heavily encouraging the purchase of non-essential, trendy goods and drastically escalating irrational, impulsive behaviors (Glückert et al., 2025).

Downstream Behavioral Consequences: Impulse Buying and Post-Transaction Connection

The ultimate, synthesized behavioral outcome of maximizing both physical and temporal decoupling in retail environments is a sharp, systemic increase in Impulsive Buying Behavior. Impulsive buying is academically defined as a spontaneous, entirely unplanned purchasing decision, frequently triggered by sudden emotional states, aggressive environmental stimuli, and the ease of transactional access (Iyer et al., 2020).

Current academic research, utilizing rigorous structural modeling, firmly establishes the pain of paying as the critical mediating variable between the use of digital payment systems and the execution of impulsive buying (Yahfi et al., 2025). The structural equation modeling of modern consumer habits shows that the use of digital payments exerts a highly significant positive effect on impulsive buying precisely because it exerts a significant negative effect on the pain of paying (Ramayanti et al., 2024).

By rendering transactions fast, physically invisible, and temporally distant, digital payments entirely remove the cognitive friction required for rational deliberation. The consumer no longer experiences the visceral loss of cash; thus, the emotional barrier to spontaneous acquisition is effectively dismantled (Schomburgk et al., 2024). This leads directly to rapid, rushed purchases characterized by total indifference to the process of expenditure. Spendception acts as the primary catalyst here, completely altering the consumer's perception of spending to the point where the financial impact goes unrecognized until long after the consumption event has concluded (Faraz & Anjum, 2025b).

The Irony of Frictionless Payments: The Destruction of Brand Loyalty

While retailers possess a strong, immediate commercial imperative to reduce the pain of paying

via decoupling to boost daily conversion rates and sheer transaction volumes, minimizing this pain actively damages long-term consumer loyalty and product retention (Q. Ma et al., 2024). In-depth psychological research demonstrates that using more painful, psychologically proximal, and highly coupled forms of payment (like physical cash or writing direct checks) actually increases an individual's emotional connection to the product purchased or the organization supported (Mee et al., 2006). This phenomenon is deeply rooted in the established psychological theories of cognitive dissonance and effort justification. When a consumer experiences a high degree of transactional agony or friction to acquire an item, they must retroactively justify that psychological investment to avoid cognitive dissonance (Padigar et al., 2025). They achieve this by subconsciously inflating their internal valuation of the outcome, increasing their emotional attachment to the product, and demonstrating higher behavioral commitment (such as making repeat purchases or publicly signaling their affiliation with the brand via apparel or word-of-mouth) (Shimul, 2022).

Conversely, when payments are heavily decoupled, rendered invisible, painless, and effortless via digital wallets, Click to Pay, or BNPL, the consumer invests virtually zero psychological effort into the acquisition (Bian et al., 2023). Without the need for psychological effort justification, the post-transaction connection remains remarkably weak and fleeting (SHAH et al., 2016). The steady decline in global brand loyalty and product retention observed over the last two decades correlates directly with the simultaneous, precipitous decline of cash transactions and the meteoric rise of frictionless payment technologies (Sudheer et al., 2024). Therefore, by relentlessly optimizing for zero-touch checkout experiences, brands may be inadvertently commoditizing themselves, severing the emotional bonds that drive long-term customer lifetime value in favor of short-term conversion spikes (Debenedetti et al., 2025).

Financial Literacy as a Cognitive Buffer

While psychometric profiles dictate baseline emotional responses, financial literacy acts as the primary cognitive shield against the manipulative effects of decoupled payment systems (Aftab et al., 2025). Financial literacy is defined as the theoretical understanding and practical ability of individuals to effectively evaluate and manage their financial resources (Lanciano, 2025).

Consumers with low financial literacy are highly susceptible to the psychological illusions created by digital payments, Spendception, and fractional BNPL schemas (Donou-Adonsou & Leslie-Piper, 2025). Lacking structural understanding, they rely heavily on the immediate (and now technologically diminished) emotional feedback of the pain of paying to regulate their behavior (Cui et al., 2024). When decoupling removes that emotional feedback, they immediately succumb to impulse buying (Lee et al., 2023).

In stark contrast, individuals possessing high financial literacy demonstrate the cognitive capacity to bypass the compromised emotional heuristic entirely (Tyrell Curry, 2025). High financial literacy essentially activates cognitive rationality, enabling these consumers to artificially simulate, intellectualize, and control the feelings of loss during a transaction, even when the payment is physically invisible and temporally delayed (Tohar & Akron, 2025). Crucially, empirical mediation studies indicate that financial literacy exerts a significant negative effect on impulsive buying directly, without necessarily needing to re-evaluate or process the emotional pain of paying (Sajid et al., 2024). This proves that rigorous financial education allows consumers to successfully substitute emotional self-regulation (which is currently highly vulnerable to decoupling technologies) with rational, cognitive consumption control, effectively

immunizing them against the paradox of convenience (Hidalgo-Mayorga et al., 2025).

Psychometric Segmentation: Tightwads versus Spendthrifts

The baseline neuro-emotional sensitivity to the pain of paying varies dramatically across the population, leading behavioral economists to categorize consumers into distinct profiles, most notably "Tightwads" and "Spendthrifts" (Reshadi & Fitzgerald, 2023; Zellermyer, 1996).

Table 3. Profile and Response Pain of Paying

Psychological Profile	Response to Pain of Paying	Behavioral Tendency	Impact of Decoupling Technologies
Tightwads	Abnormally High Sensitivity. They possess a large coefficient of pleasure attenuation; the mere thought of cost ruins their enjoyment of consumption.	Suffer from "compulsive reluctance to spend," finding it difficult to part with money even when objectively necessary or beneficial.	Highly responsive. Decoupling technologies provide massive relief, allowing them to finally execute purchases by bypassing their hyper-active pain response.
Spendthrifts	Severe Deficit in Sensitivity. They possess a large coefficient of pain buffering (β); the joy of acquisition easily overwhelms any minor distress regarding cost.	Prone to chronic overspending, impulse buying, and failure to consider long-term financial consequences.	Dangerously vulnerable. Decoupling removes what little friction they naturally feel, leading to severe financial overextension, rapid debt accumulation, and frequent utilization of BNPL to their detriment.

(Source: Researchers, 2026)

CONCLUSION

As the modern payment ecosystem aggressively pursues decoupling, it systematically dismantles the intrinsic self-regulatory mechanisms of the consumer. Physical decoupling erodes the transparency of wealth depletion, facilitating Spendception. Temporal decoupling manipulates temporal construal, pushing the reality of financial loss into the abstract future and allowing immediate hedonic desires to proceed unchecked. As digital payments become entirely seamless and embedded within the fabric of daily life, the historical reliance on emotional friction to regulate spending will become entirely obsolete. The future of consumer financial well-being will therefore depend not on futile attempts to revive the physical pain of paying, but on cultivating robust, widespread financial literacy that transitions consumers from vulnerable, heuristic-based emotional regulation to resilient, deliberate, cognitive financial management. Retailers, fintech developers, and macroeconomic policymakers alike must recognize that in a world perfectly optimized for frictionless conversion, the hidden psychological architecture of the transaction is just as critical, and potentially as dangerous, as the actual product being sold.

The architecture of how individuals exchange value has a profound, determinative impact on human economic behavior, market stability, and consumer psychology. The pain of paying is not a mere

*Conceptualizing Dual Mechanisms of Decoupling:
The Impact of Physical and Temporal Separation on The Intensity of Pain of Paying*
(Kinanti, et al.)

side effect of commerce or a friction to be eradicated without consequence; it is a fundamental cognitive heuristic that regulates consumption, enforces financial boundaries, and dictates the subjective valuation of acquired goods. To navigate this landscape profitably without entirely alienating consumers or destroying brand equity, sophisticated businesses are increasingly leveraging predictive personalization. By utilizing advanced artificial intelligence to anticipate specific customer needs and psychological profiles, retailers can proactively deploy the precise decoupling mechanism required to push a specific consumer over the purchasing threshold. For high-value, hedonic items targeted at low-NFC consumers, retailers will aggressively push temporally decoupled BNPL options. For routine, utilitarian repurchases or low-value items, they will rely on zero-touch, biometric invisible payments to leverage physical decoupling.

REFERENCES

- Aftab, R., Fazal, A., & Andleeb, R. (2025). Behavioral biases and Fintech adoption: Investigating the role of financial literacy. *Acta Psychologica*, 257, 105065. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ACTPSY.2025.105065>
- Alkadash, T. M., Ateeq, A., Dawwas, M., Ibrahim, S. B., Alkadash, Y. M., Alkadash, R. M., & Alhassan, T. (2025). Exploring the Psychological and Behavioral Effects of Mobile Payment Systems on Consumer Spending: A Theoretical Perspective. In R. K. Hamdan (Ed.), *Tech Fusion in Business and Society: Harnessing Big Data, IoT, and Sustainability in Business: Volume 2* (pp. 311–319). Springer Nature Switzerland. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-84636-6_26
- Ashby, R., Sharifi, S., Yao, J., & Ang, L. (2025). The influence of the buy-now-pay-later payment mode on consumer spending decisions. *Journal of Retailing*, 101(1), 103–119. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JRETAI.2025.01.003>
- Bachmann, J., Krüger, B., Keck, J., Munzert, J., & Zabicki, A. (2022). When the timing is right: The link between temporal coupling in dyadic interactions and emotion recognition. *Cognition*, 229, 105267. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.COGNITION.2022.105267>
- Berg, T., Burg, V., Keil, J., & Puri, M. (2025). The economics of “Buy Now, Pay Later”: A merchant’s perspective. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 171, 104093. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JFINECO.2025.104093>
- Bian, W., Cong, L. W., & Ji, Y. (2023). The Rise of E-Wallets and Buy-Now-Pay-Later: Payment Competition, Credit Expansion, and Consumer Behavior. *NBER Working Paper*, 31202, 31202, 1–56. <https://www.juniperresearch.com/press/digital-wallet-users-exceed-5bn-globally-2026>.
- Böhmecke-Schwafert, M. (2024). The role of blockchain for trade in global value chains: A systematic literature review and guidance for future research. *Telecommunications Policy*, 48(9). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.telpol.2024.102835>
- Cerdeiro, D. A., Eugster, J., Mano, R. C., Muir, D., & Peiris, S. J. (2021). *Sizing Up the Effects of Technological Decoupling*.
- Cui, L., Li, S., Wang, S., Wu, X., Liu, Y., Yu, W., Wang, Y., Tang, Y., Xia, M., & Li, B. (2024). Major depressive disorder: hypothesis, mechanism, prevention and treatment. In *Signal Transduction and Targeted Therapy* (Vol. 9, Number 1). Springer Nature. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41392-024-01738-y>
- Debenedetti, A., Philippe, D., & Dion, D. (2025). Balancing exclusivity and inclusivity through the strategic domestication of the luxury retail experience. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, 42(1), 32–54. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijresmar.2024.05.001>
- Donou-Adonsou, F., & Leslie-Piper, N. (2025). BNPL and financial fragility in U.S. households. *Finance Research Letters*, 86. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.frl.2025.108423>

- Egbert, H., & Sedlarski, T. (2017). Fundamentals of modern economy: Irving Fisher and intertemporal choice theory. *Economic Thought Journal*, (1), 132–143.
- Faraz, N., & Anjum, A. (2025a). Spendception: The Psychological Impact of Digital Payments on Consumer Purchase Behavior and Impulse Buying. *Behavioral Sciences*, 15(3). <https://doi.org/10.3390/bs15030387>
- Faraz, N., & Anjum, A. (2025b). Spendception: The Psychological Impact of Digital Payments on Consumer Purchase Behavior and Impulse Buying. *Behavioral Sciences*, 15. <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:277181283>
- Glückert, I. K., Huffstadt, K., & Müller, N. (2025). Buy Now Pay Later: Between Flexibility and Financial Control – An Analysis of Psychological and Social Factors. In C. Stephanidis, M. Antona, S. Ntoa, & G. Salvendy (Eds.), *HCI International 2025 Posters* (pp. 304–314). Springer Nature Switzerland.
- Gopinath, G., & Itskhoki, O. (2022). Chapter 2 - Dominant Currency Paradigm: a review. In G. Gopinath, E. Helpman, & K. Rogoff (Eds.), *Handbook of International Economics* (Vol. 6, pp. 45–90). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.hesint.2022.02.009>
- Hasan, M. M., Karim, F., & Ripon, Md. B. B. (2025). Behavioral Economics and Consumer Decision-Making: An Integrative Review. *Business and Social Sciences*, 3(1). <https://doi.org/10.25163/business.3110228>
- Hidalgo-Mayorga, M. de los Á., Puente-Riofrio, M. I., Pérez-Salas, F. P., Guerrero-Arrieta, K. G., & López-Naranjo, A. L. (2025). Financial Literacy as a Tool for Social Inclusion and Reduction of Inequalities: A Systematic Review. In *Social Sciences* (Vol. 14, Number 11). Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute (MDPI). <https://doi.org/10.3390/socsci14110658>
- Hobson, N. M., Schroeder, J., Risen, J. L., Xygalatas, D., & Inzlicht, M. (2018). The Psychology of Rituals: An Integrative Review and Process-Based Framework. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 22(3), 260–284. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1088868317734944>
- Iyer, G. R., Blut, M., Xiao, S. H., & Grewal, D. (2020). Impulse buying: a meta-analytic review. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 48(3), 384–404. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-019-00670-w>
- Kemp-Benedict, E. (2018). Dematerialization, Decoupling, and Productivity Change. *Ecological Economics*, 150, 204–216. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ECOLECON.2018.04.020>
- Krause, D. (2025). *Buy Now, Pay Later: An Analysis of Consumer Financial Protection Bureau Research and Regulatory Actions*. <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.26949.56807>
- Lanciano, E. (2025). Financial Literacy and Financial Education: An Overview. In E. Lanciano (Ed.), *The Relevance of Financial Literacy: Theory, Research, and Metrics* (pp. 1–22). Springer Nature Switzerland. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-93397-4_1
- Lazarus, J. (2021). Negativity bias: An evolutionary hypothesis and an empirical programme. *Learning and Motivation*, 75, 101731. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.LMOT.2021.101731>
- Lee, Y. Y., Gan, C. L., & Liew, T. W. (2023). Rationality and impulse buying: Is your emotion a part of the equation? *Computers in Human Behavior Reports*, 12. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chbr.2023.100337>
- Liu, K. J., Chen, S. L., Huang, H. C., & Gan, M. L. (2025). The trust paradox: An exploration of consumer psychology and behavior in cross-border shopping using E-commerce mobile applications. *Acta Psychologica*, 254. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actpsy.2025.104778>
- Ma, M., & Zheng, Q. (2024). Towards Application of Microscale Structural Superlubricity. In E. Gnecco & E. Meyer (Eds.), *Fundamentals of Friction and Wear on the Nanoscale* (pp. 91–115). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-63065-1_6
- Ma, Q., Tan, Y., He, Y., Cheng, L., & Wang, M. (2024). Why does mobile payment promote purchases? Revisiting the pain of paying, and understanding the implicit pleasure via selective attention. *PsyCh Journal*, 13(5), 760–779. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pchj.765>

- Mariolis, T., Daniel, L., & González, T. (2016). Modern Classical Economics and Reality. A Spectral Analysis of the Theory of Value and Distribution, by Theodore Mariolis. *Investigación Económica*, LXXV(298), 185–198.
- Mee, S., Bunney, B. G., Reist, C., Potkin, S. G., & Bunney, W. E. (2006). Psychological pain: A review of evidence. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*, 40(8), 680–690. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2006.03.003>
- Padigar, M., Li, Y., & Manjunath, C. N. (2025). “Good” and “bad” frictions in customer experience: Conceptual foundations and implications. *Psychology & Marketing*, 42(1), 21–43. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/mar.22111>
- Patil, A. (2026). The Psychology of Spending in Cashless Societies. *International Journal for Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology*, 14(4), 1093–1097. <https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2026.79321>
- Prelec, D. (1998). The Red and the Black: Mental Accounting of Savings and Debt. *Marketing Science*, 17, 4–28. <https://doi.org/10.1287/mksc.17.1.4>
- Ramayanti, R., Rachmawati, N. A., Azhar, Z., & Nik Azman, N. H. (2024). Exploring intention and actual use in digital payments: A systematic review and roadmap for future research. In *Computers in Human Behavior Reports* (Vol. 13). Elsevier B.V. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chbr.2023.100348>
- Reinartz, W., Wiegand, N., & Imschloss, M. (2019). The impact of digital transformation on the retailing value chain. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, 36(3), 350–366. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijresmar.2018.12.002>
- Reshadi, F., & Fitzgerald, P. (2023). The pain of payment: A review and research agenda. *Psychology & Marketing*, 40, 1672–1688. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mar.21825>
- Sadhu, H. (2025). Behavioral Economics: How Emotions Influence Financial Decisions. *International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research (IJFMR)*, 7(1), 1. www.ijfmr.com
- Sajid, M., Mushtaq, R., Murtaza, G., Yahiaoui, D., & Pereira, V. (2024). Financial literacy, confidence and well-being: The mediating role of financial behavior. *Journal of Business Research*, 182, 114791. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JBUSRES.2024.114791>
- Sarkar, S., & Bhatia, G. (2021). Writing and appraising narrative reviews. *Journal of Clinical and Scientific Research*, 10, 169. https://doi.org/10.4103/jcsr.jcsr_1_21
- Schomburgk, L., Belli, A., & Hoffmann, A. O. I. (2024). Less cash, more splash? A meta-analysis on the cashless effect. *Journal of Retailing*, 100(3), 382–403. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretai.2024.05.003>
- See, A. R., Choco, J. A. G., & Chandramohan, K. (2022). Touch, Texture and Haptic Feedback: A Review on How We Feel the World around Us. In *Applied Sciences (Switzerland)* (Vol. 12, Number 9). MDPI. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app12094686>
- SHAH, A. M., EISENKRAFT, N., BETTMAN, J. R., & CHARTRAND, T. L. (2016). How We Pay Influences Post-Transaction Connection. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 42(5), 688–708. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/26570243>
- Shakya, S., Abbas, R., & Maric, S. (2025). A Novel Zero-Touch, Zero-Trust, AI/ML Enablement Framework for IoT Network Security. *ArXiv*. <http://arxiv.org/abs/2502.03614>
- Shimul, A. S. (2022). Brand attachment: a review and future research. *Journal of Brand Management*, 29(4), 400–419. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41262-022-00279-5>
- Silva, E. M., Moreira, R. de L., & Bortolon, P. M. (2023). Mental Accounting and decision making: a systematic literature review. *Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Economics*, 107, 102092. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SOCEC.2023.102092>
- Siswadi, F., Karimi, K., Kurdi, M., Widayastuti, E., & Koesmariadi, F. A. (2025). Leadership Styles and Organizational Readiness in the Era of Digital Transformation: A Narrative Review. *Novatio: Journal of Management Technology and Innovation Citation*, 3(3), 144. <https://journal.idscipub.com/novatio>

- Sjam, A. A. (2025). Opaque payments, open wallets: The relationship between payment transparency and overspending. *Research in Economics*, 79(3), 101045. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.RIE.2025.101045>
- Stracca, L. (2025). Do We Still Need Physical Cash? In L. Stracca (Ed.), *Redefining the Monetary Standard in the Digital Age: Digital Innovations and the Future of Monetary Policy* (pp. 99–113). Springer Nature Switzerland. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-89840-2_4
- Sudheer, M. S., Maheswari, P., Othman, B., & Kumar, M. (2024). The Evolution of Brand Loyalty: Insights and Strategies for Contemporary Markets. In *Journal of Informatics Education and Research* (Vol. 4). <http://jier.org2148>
- Sukhera, J. (2022). Narrative Reviews in Medical Education: Key Steps for Researchers. *Journal of Graduate Medical Education*, 14(4), 418–419. <https://doi.org/10.4300/JGME-D-22-00481.1>
- Thomas, G. (2025). Building Resilient Payment Architectures for the Subscription Economy. *Journal of Computer Science and Technology Studies*, 7, 389–397. <https://doi.org/10.32996/jcsts.2025.7.3.45>
- Tohar, G., & Akron, S. (2025). Financial literacy cognitions and optimal financial behavior. *Finance Research Letters*, 83. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.frl.2025.107455>
- Tyrell Curry, J. (2025). Modeling the dynamic interplay between financial literacy, resilience, and emotional well-being across diverse demographics. *Cogent Economics & Finance*, 13(1), 2544177. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23322039.2025.2544177>
- Usman, N., Griffiths, M., & Alam, A. (2024). FinTech and money laundering: moderating effect of financial regulations and financial literacy. *Digital Policy, Regulation and Governance*, 27(3), 301–326. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1108/DPRG-04-2024-0068>
- Witt, M. A., Lewin, A. Y., Li, P. P., & Gaur, A. (2023). Decoupling in international business: Evidence, drivers, impact, and implications for IB research. In *Journal of World Business* (Vol. 58, Number 1). Elsevier Inc. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwb.2022.101399>
- Yahfi, M., Said, M. M., & Trianti, K. (2025). PAIN OF PAYING AS MEDIATION: AN ANALYSIS OF THE INFLUENCE OF DIGITAL PAYMENTS AND FINANCIAL LITERACY ON STUDENTS' IMPULSE BUYING BEHAVIOR. *Jurnal Ilmu Manajemen (JIMMU)*. <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:284868805>
- Yee, L., Chui, M., Roberts, R., & Smit, S. (2025). *Technology Trends Outlook 2025*.
- Zellermayer, O. (1996). *The Pain of Paying*. Carnegie Mellon University.
- Zurstraßen, N., Brandhofer, R., Cubero-Cascante, J., Bosbach, N., Jünger, L., & Leupers, R. (2025). The art of temporal decoupling. *Integration*, 101, 102314. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.VLSI.2024.102314>